



**PRESIDENT**  
Ron Ostrow

**VICE PRESIDENTS**  
Linda Demmers– Administration  
Daniel McCarthy – Outreach

**TREASURER**  
Nelson Bae

**SECRETARY**  
Caitlin Mendoza-Price

GREATER GRIFFITH PARK NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL  
"Your Neighborhood. Your Voice. Your Council"

## GOVERNING BOARD MEETING

### MINUTES APPROVED

July 19, 2011 – 7:00 p.m.  
Los Feliz Community Police Center  
1965 N. Hillhurst Ave. (2<sup>nd</sup> floor of Citibank)  
Los Angeles, CA 90027



CERTIFIED COUNCIL #36

PO Box 27003  
Los Angeles, CA 90027-  
0003

(323) 908-6054

[www.ggpnc.org](http://www.ggpnc.org)

[GGPNC@ggpnc.org](mailto:GGPNC@ggpnc.org)

### 1. Call to Order. Roll Call.

7:15 pm

Late: Khanjian, Mauceri

Present: Ostrow, Kornberg, Bae, McCarthy, Masi, Ferris, Desai, O'Grady, Robbins,  
Campbell, Malhi, Iaderosa, Demmers

Absent: DeOcampo, Menz

Excused: Price

### 2. Public Comments on Non-Agenda Items.

None.

### 3. Reports From Government Officials

Dale Berhoyne, Bureau of Sanitation: Explaining his role at the Bureau. Talking about wastewater sewer infrastructure concerns about age and pace of maintenance of the system, and upcoming City Council hearings on this issue beginning August 2, 2011. Proposing raising rates (averaging \$34 per year per household over 10 years) to increase maintenance and replacement budget. New budget would include funds to assist low-income households with their sewer connections.

Mauceri arrives.

Question Period:

Robbins: Asking if there is a similar shortfall in resources for solid waste.

Berhoyne: DWP has their own proposals for rate increases. But solid waste proposals will probably wait 4-5 years

McCarthy: Asking how the recycled water program can be increased.

Berhoyne: Explaining that recycled water is generally used for irrigation near the treatment plants. The expansion depends on DWP spending additional funds on infrastructure as well.

Campbell: Asking about he estimated closing of the local landfill in 2013 and impact. Noting that there are long term savings associated with recycled water.

Berhoyme: Access to Sunshine Canyon landfill will continue. Other cities will rail haul waste to the desert and those communities will experience a rate increase. City has no such plans.

Mauceri: Clarifying rate increase will be roughly \$340 over ten years per household and asking if the increase will remain in effect after that period.

Berhoyme: Not anticipating they will go back, but also predicting future increases will be less likely.

Nicole Carcel, Assemblymember Mike Feuer's Office: Update on Bill 52 (health insurance regulation). Free small business seminar on August 19<sup>th</sup> from 1-4 in West Hollywood.

#### **4. Executive Committee Reports**

##### a. President

Ostrow: Reporting on special Executive Committee meeting, including resolution of two grievances. Third grievance was largely resolved, but requires additional input from the City Attorney before

Noting August 16, 2011 Independent Shakespeare Company performance of Hamlet will highlight the GGPNC's support.

Noting upcoming DONE training – to be scheduled for a later meeting.

Reporting on idea of official liaisons to various entities.

##### b. Treasurer

Bae: Explaining he will participate in the Treasurer training next week. Thanking Education Committee for submitting budget. Requesting other committees do the same.

#### **MOTION:**

Kornberg moves to adopt Budget. O'Grady seconds. No Objection. Adopted by consensus.

#### **5. Appointments to fill vacancies on the Rules and Elections and Budget and Finance Committees.**

Ferris volunteering to serve on Rules & Elections.

#### **MOTION:**

Bae moves. Iaderosa seconds. No objection. Approved by consensus.

O'Grady volunteering.

Mauceri nominating Khanjian.

**MOTION:**

Ferris moves. Robbins seconds. No objection. Approved by consensus.

**6. Motion: Authorize expenditure of \$5,000 to Mt. Hollywood Congregational Church for water damage repair**

**MOTION:**

Bae moves. Iaderosa seconds.

Allan Carop, Michael Albrant, Ross Almaza, Mt. Hollywood Congregational Church: Presenting history of the church in the community, including record of progressive values and local supportive programs. Explaining long term water damage, insurance coverage issue, current budget shortfall.

Question Period:

McCarthy: Clarifying no budget category identified in the motion.

Bae: Confirming this and explaining this didn't come through committee.

Ferris: Clarifying what the current shortfall is and the projected timeline.

Albrant: Construction has begun. Loan to cover this work has been applied for. Congregation is very small.

Khanjian arrives.

O'Grady: Suggesting this could come through the Budget Committee and asking if other councils have been approached.

Bae: No other councils contacted yet, on his advice.

Ostrow: Asking about funding timeline.

Almaza: Explaining that this will be an ongoing carrying cost, because the loan will probably come through and then funds like this would be used to pay back the loan.

Kornberg: Confirming that a funding allocation of this kind is acceptable.

Malhi: Asking if the building has historic preservation status.

Discussion Period:

Masi: Noting that the board had previously determined that funding proposals must be presented indicating what budget item this comes through.

O'Grady: Agreeing.

McCarthy: Asking if the budget will be finalized in time to address this.

**MOTION:**

Mauceri moves to second until budget finalized. Masi seconds. No objection. Tabled by consensus.

Ostrow: Suggesting the discussion skip ahead to other items.

Masi: Objecting to this practice. Explaining his experience that this is not a good habit and that the council should proceed as planned. If this is a concern it should be addressed in the agenda process.

O'Grady: Noting the hope was to address items involving members of the public.

Mauceri: Agreeing with both comments.

Ostrow: Noting there is precedent for this. Explaining the way the agenda is compiled.

Ferris: Asking if anyone came to comment on this from the public.

Ostrow: No speaker cards on this issue aside from the presenter.

**7. Motion: The Greater Griffith Park Neighborhood Council urges that the Board of Water and Power Commissioners and the City Council not consider or act upon the DWP proposed water and power rate increases until the Ratepayers Advocate is established and has thoroughly reviewed and analyzed the proposed rate increases and presented such review and analysis to the Ratepayers and the public for their careful consideration. The Greater Griffith Park Neighborhood Council SUPPORTS the motion, as introduced by Councilman Englander (Council file # 11-0452-S4) calling for the RPA (Office of Public Accountability) to first review the current DWP rate increase proposal. The Greater Griffith Park Neighborhood Council OPPOSES any rate increases until the Ratepayers Advocate has reviewed and analyzed these rate increases and discussed the review and analysis with the ratepayers and the public. The Greater Griffith Park Neighborhood Council AUTHORIZES the filing of a COMMUNITY IMPACT STATEMENT to Council File # 11-04520S4.**

**MOTION:**

O'Grady moves. Kornberg seconds.

Ostrow: Noting the CIS cannot exceed 100 words and the submitted CIS exceeds this limit.

O'Grady: Providing background on Ratepayer Advocate.

Public Comment:

Greg Bartz, DWP Representative: Noting available resources for learning more about this issue and other DWP matters and encouraging stakeholders to get involved.

David Uebersax, DWP MOU liaison: Other communities have approved similar measures or are considering similar measures in the next month.

Question Period:

Ferris: Clarifying that the language in Supplement 1 would be submitted as the CIS.

Ostrow: Reiterating that the length exceeds the 100 limit and will have to be reduced accordingly before it is submitted.

Discussion:

Mauceri: Noting that this issue has come up before and the RPA is a concept the council has supported in the past.

No objection. Passed by consensus.

**8. Committee Reports:**

a. Planning, Zoning and Historic Preservation

- i. Motion: The board approves the letter of support for Case No. ZA 2007-4177-CUB-ZV (Little Dom's Restaurant)

**MOTION:**

Masi moves to approve letter of support. Ferris seconds.

Masi: Explaining compliance history on expiring CUB, applicant's role in the community, public support for the application - including support from NE Division Vice Officer - and committee's support for the application.

Public Comment:

Washington Irving: Supporting applicant.

No objection. Passed by consensus.

**MOTION:**

Malhi moves to change agenda order. Mauceri seconds.

Ostrow rules the motion out of order.

Mauceri moves to overrule. Malhi seconds.

Mauceri: Explaining need to move public statement items along.

**VOTE:**

In Favor: Demmers, McCarthy, Khanjian, Mauceri, Ferris, Malhi, Iaderosa, O'Grady, Masi, Bae

Opposed: Kornberg, Robbins, Desai, Ostrow, Campbell

Motion to overrule passes.

O'Grady moves to add other items.

**VOTE:**

Abstaining: Ostrow

In Favor: Demmers, McCarthy, Khanjian, Mauceri, Ferris, Malhi, Iaderosa, O'Grady, Masi, Bae

Opposed: Kornberg, Robbins, Desai, Campbell

Amended Motion to move forward in agenda passes by supermajority vote.

**9. Motion: The GGPNC requests a traffic study along Finley Avenue (between Vermont and Hillhurst Ave) and Avocado Street (between Hillhurst and Commonwealth Ave) by the Los Angeles Department Of Transportation.**

**MOTION:**

Malhi moves. Ferris seconds.

Will Dean, Miles Shapman, stakeholders: Explaining the speeding issue on the street.

Question Period:

Desai: Clarifying no speed bumps currently in this area.

Mauceri: Clarifying the Finley section in question is in Malhi's district.

Kornberg: Asking if there are any changes since the last request on this topic.

O'Grady: Noting the benefit of multiple requests even if they are duplicative.

Campbell: Asking if it's possible to request additional LAPD patrol.

Iadecola: Explaining that yes, this is a possible option.

Robbins: Asking if there have been any documented accidents.

Malhi: Suggesting a follow-up with local law enforcement.

Discussion Period:

Ferris: Requesting signs that measure speeding are another possible deterrent.

Mauceri: The question is a simple one, which is whether or not to send a letter – the solution to that problem will be determined by DOT.

Robbins: Suggesting it be tabled for a month to collect statements or incident reports.

O'Grady: Opposing the idea that we wait, instead supporting immediate action.

Ostrow: Noting letter should be written to accompany motions in advance of the meeting.

Malhi: Letter will simply ask for a traffic study. Additional support can be gathered later.

Ostrow: Supporting the letter. But not supporting the inclusion of petitions and other materials.

Masi: Supporting letter as defined by the motion.

No objection. Passed by consensus.

**10. Motion: To send letter to Zoning Administrator supporting applicant's effort to create alternate vehicle entry/egress plan for proposed development at 2131 North Hillhurst Avenue.**

**MOTION:**

Mauceri moves. Masi seconds.

Mauceri: Providing background on project and review by the committee and council in previous meetings.

Ostrow: Noting comments and discussion should be limited to the content of the letter and not stray into the merits of the underlying proposal.

Public Comment:

Matthew Hayden: Speaking in support of the letter and the project. Noting changes made to the plans to locate exit on Hillhurst instead of Avocado in response to community concern.

Carrie Sutkin: Speaking in opposition to the letter. Noting that she does not live on Avocado and raised issues other than those noted in the letter. Enjoys retail and residential nature of the area. This is a CUP application because the use is not consistent with the general plan, which requires neighborhood commercial use. Nuisance standard also violated on this site in the past. This applicant has an over-concentration in this area and is therefore inconsistent with the community plan.

Patrick Renna: Speaking in support of the letter and submitting letters and petition in support of the mission. Noting that this project is funded independent of the larger church organization and significant funds have been expended to adjust the plans to meet community needs and concerns.

Washington Irving: Speaking in opposition to the letter and suggesting that if the council does discuss changing the letter it should also consider not recommending the project. Suggesting that additional concerns would have been aired had more discussion been allowed.

Amy Tsai-Shen: Land use attorney speaking in support of the letter and suggesting the council submit a letter of approval in light of the new plans. Noting that the zoning code does allow this use in this zone. C4-1 is a commercial zone and the parking site hasn't been used for a residential use since 1964.

Katarina Ryan: Speaking in opposition to the letter. Noting that they don't have DOT variance for the driveway yet – this proposal has not been approved by DOT. A letter of support for this project would be premature. There is overwhelming opposition in the neighborhood to this use, particularly the shift from residential zoning to commercial use. Offering letters and petitions in opposition.

Question Period:

Malhi: Expressing confusion about current zoning.

Mauceri: This is a question left to the Zoning Administrator.

Masi: Zoning has been documented in all the minutes. There are three lots. The one on Hillhurst is zoned commercially. The other two are zoned residential.

Campbell: Clarifying that church falls within commercial use.

O'Grady: Clarifying that Mauceri wrote the letter – that it was written before the new plans were submitted.

Masi: Explaining that he provided technical information in response to Mauceri's requests, including the case number, etc.

Mauceri: Explaining there is no Brown Act issue here because the public is weighing in on this process now.

Ostrow: Explaining there is no Brown Act issue here because only three members, Mauceri, Masi, and Ostrow had any role in crafting the letter and agendizing it.

Demmers: Correcting date of the letter. Confirming letter written by Mauceri who affixed an electronic signature.

Robbins: Clarifying that the council makes a recommendation based on what's put forward and has no control over what is ultimately presented to the ZA, but that applicant plans to submit this new plan.

Discussion Period:

Mauceri: Explaining his experience on Avocado, where there was ample parking and ample off street parking. Concerned that the neighbors keep moving the ball on this issue – the focus at the last meeting was clearly the egress on Avocado. This applicant has come before the council 5 times and responded to community concern on each occasion. We cannot constantly bring additional new objections to a good faith applicant.

**SUBSTITUTE MOTION:**

Mauceri moves to strike the second to last paragraph to instead read: "The applicant has now presented this council with a site redesign which diverts the traffic flow to Hillhurst Ave. where the residential impact on Avocado St. would be ameliorated. Therefore we support the application for adjustments with the applicable conditions in the attached document." Malhi seconds.

Mauceri: Explaining the attachments would be the conditions proposed by the PZHP motion at the last meeting.

Demmers: Clarifying that the amendment is to the text of the letter. The motion to send the letter should be handled first and then the language of the letter could be addressed second.

Campbell: Noting that the petition and the letters submitted at the last meeting highlight other concerns besides the Avocado St. issue.

McCarthy: Explaining all the public comments concerned the Avocado St. issue.

**Point of Information:**

McCarthy: Asking for clarification about the Hollywood Community Plan

Ostrow: Explaining that the draft Hollywood Community Plan is a planning document being developed by the city planning department.

Masi: Addressing the zoning of the three lots and suggesting that this request to put parking on this lot is consistent with past use. Some commercial property will be built on this lot eventually and it will require parking. To not allow the use of this lot for parking will result in whatever business goes into that lot parking on the street. Noting the applicant has been remarkably responsive to community and committee concerns.

Kornberg: Sharing her recollection that during the meeting the Avocado St traffic issue was the focus and not just because that was the only item there was time to discuss.

O'Grady: Noting that the letters in opposition to the application stress a number of other items in addition to the Avocado St concern.

**Point of Order:**

Ferris: Asking about timing.

Ostrow: Not timing this discussion.

Malhi: The parking lot is blighted. The owner is the applicant. The owner has gone back and changed their plans to conform with the council's suggestions. The comments by O'Grady suggesting this applicant should be restricted to a residential use despite the commercial use of past owners are impractical and unrealistic.

Robbins: Acknowledging this is the 5<sup>th</sup> time the applicant has presented and acknowledging the public speakers who waited to speak tonight. Also remembering that the Avocado St. issue was the primary concern at the last meeting. Supporting the Substitute Motion.

McCarthy: Noting that this might not be his first choice, but it's far more responsive to the community concerns that what he would choose for this site or what's likely to be built if this is not.

Khanjian: Noting that LADOT and ZA will need to agree on this.

Ostrow: Clarifying that the substitute letter is contingent on this condition.

Iaderosa: People on this street are against it.

Ostrow: Explaining that the community concerns were distributed to the board in advance of the meeting. He read those concerns and listened to the public comment and agrees that those focused on the parking issue. Noting the limited scope of the council's purview on these matters and sharing his view that this represents an improvement for the block and the neighborhood.

Bae objects

**VOTE on the SUBSTITUTE MOTION:**

Abstaining: Khanjian, Demmers, Campbell  
In Favor: Kornberg, McCarthy, Masi, Mauceri, Ferris, Robbins, Malhi, Ostrow  
Opposed: Bae, O'Grady, Desai, Iaderosa

Substitute Motion passes by majority vote.

O'Grady: Asking if a letter detailing the community concerns can be attached to the letter just passed.

Mauceri: Suggesting that all the letters, not just those from one side be submitted.

Masi: Both groups have been here and if they haven't already have submitted their letters they will certainly do so tomorrow. This is not the job of the council.

Campbell: Announcing that stakeholder meetings on commercial trash collection franchising issue previously voted on by the council (Wednesday, July 27<sup>th</sup> at 7pm).

### **Returning to Item 8.**

#### **b. Ad Hoc Street Fair**

- i. Motion: To expend up to \$950 for supplies and materials for GGPNC outreach at the July 24, 2011 Los Feliz Street Fair.

#### **MOTION:**

McCarthy moves. Masi seconds.

McCarthy: Explaining that this money is for helium tanks and balloons as well as outreach material.

#### Question Period:

Robbins: Clarifying that time frame is too short for refrigerator magnet

Khanjian: Clarifying that there are sufficient funds on the credit card

#### Discussion Period:

Campbell: Opposing the balloons. Noting that her opposition to this was stated in the discussion of the Silver Lake Jubilee when there was insufficient time to adjust the plans. There was plenty of time to take these concerns into account.

Bae: In the ad hoc committee meeting this concern was addressed. Caitlin did some research on the particular balloons, which are biodegradable and the hope was that this was a good option.

O'Grady: Reiterating Campbell's concerns.

Masi: Bag of 500 balloons are biodegradable and represent a fairly small volume, seeing clarification on this issue.

Desai: Suggesting that this issue would be resolved by ordering a large quantity in advance.

Ferris: Expressing her concern that one committee dictate conduct to another. Committees should work in collaboration.

Robbins: Noting that McCarthy was appointed to this position a couple hours ago and that the balloon issue slipped through the cracks without overt disrespect to anyone.

Demmers: Also not a fan of the balloons, but agreed despite that because the chair and another member were leaving on vacation. It's not a great solution, but we made it with time sensitivity concerns.

Mauceri: Finding these concerns slightly extreme.

Ostrow: Noting that 1,000 balloons were purchased for the Jubilee. This is a proposal to buy an additional 250 to augment those remaining from the original batch.

Campbell: Jubilee will not allow balloons in the future. Biodegradability is not the only concern. Many balloons don't end up in the landfill but go in the air or land in the street. Moreover, there is no regulation of the term biodegradable – plastics will not biodegrade in a reasonable period.

Masi: Thanking Campbell for her contributions and suggesting an alternative to balloons be adopted.

O'Grady: This is an image issue – people, especially young people – like to see this effort to be conscious.

Ferris: This has become a moral argument. It shouldn't be.

Mauceri: Noting that the committee delayed moving forward on this so that there could be discussion on this and now the council may have to agree to disagree.

McCarthy: Explaining the timing of these decisions.

Campbell objects.

**VOTE:**

Abstaining: McCarthy, Ostrow

In Favor: Kornberg, Demmers, Khanjian, Mauceri, Ferris, Robbins, Desai, Kornberg, Bae

Opposed: Masi, Campbell, Iaderosa, O'Grady

Motion passes.

c. Parks, River and Open Spaces

- i. Motion: Resolved, that the Autry National Center shall adhere to the terms of its long standing commitment to upgrade the Southwest Museum and to operate the Southwest Museum so that the public may have access to that site and be able to admire artifacts and artworks that have historically been maintained at that site.

Tabled.

- ii. Motion: Whereas there currently exists sufficient cell phone reception throughout Griffith Park and that the use of water reservoirs as bases for cell phone towers represents an unwanted and unnecessary intrusion of the natural environment of Griffith Park, be it resolved that the GGPNC oppose cell phone tower installations within Griffith Park.

Tabled.

- iii. Motion: Resolved, that the City of Los Angeles, including but not limited to the Department of Water and Power and the Department of Street Maintenance, shall determine the cause(s) of excess surface water in Fern Dell and resultant property damage and shall bring resources to the resolution of that problem.

Tabled.

- iv. Request to form ad hoc committee to consider the proposal of the LA RAP to permit advertising in city parks including the use of billboards, logos on park structures such as picnic tables, sides of buildings, and trash receptacles and other surfaces.

Tabled.

- v. Request to form an ad hoc committee to consider the possible conflict of interest of members of the LA RAP Commission who hold positions in related organizations, which are involved with the RAP Department, specifically the LA Parks Foundation.

Tabled.

- d. Green Committee
  - i. Letter of support for Senate Bill 568

### **Point of Order**

Robbins: Asking if the council authorized to send advocacy letters to state officials.

Ostrow: The council is not allowed to lobby

Ferris: City Attorney advises councils that we are not advising other government bodies besides the city council.

Ostrow: This letter will be addressed to a city representative

### **MOTION:**

Campbell moves. McCarthy seconds.

Campbell: Explaining the issue and the solution contemplated by the bill.

Kornberg: Asking what the banned materials will be replaced with.

Campbell: Paper products.

Demmers: Supporting the motion and sharing her experience in the Malibu council discussion on this issue.

No objection. Passed by consensus.

- ii. Letter Proposing the approval of an ordinance restricting the distribution of single-use plastic bags in Los Angeles.

**MOTION:**

Campbell moves. Iaderosa seconds.

Question Period:

Bae: Asking what the recommended method for dog waste disposal is.

Campbell: The ideal would be composting, but the realistic solution is to buy bags.

Ostrow: Clarifying that this issue has come before the council today

Campbell: The previous issue was a state one, this is a city measure

Discussion Period:

O'Grady: Noting the state will likely act on this before the council.

No objection. Passed by consensus.

- iii. Motion: The Greater Griffith Park Neighborhood Council will only use 100% post consumer recycled paper for the printing of agendas and supplemental materials.

**MOTION:**

Campbell moves. O'Grady seconds.

Campbell: noting that CopyCat has some, but we need to request it.

Kornberg: Asking if it will cost more.

O'Grady: Estimating it will likely increase costs 30%

Masi: Asking that Green Committee facilitate this.

No objection. Passed by consensus.

**11. Approval of Past Meeting Minutes**

Kornberg moves to table. Ferris seconds.

Tabled.

**12. Other Comments and Announcements.**

Robbins: Marshall track open 6 – 7 am.

**13. Adjourn**

Kornberg moves to adjourn. Bae seconds. Adjourned 11:54 pm.

**AGENDAS & PUBLIC COMMENT:** Agendas are posted for public review on the Council's website, the Public Library, Los Feliz Branch at 1874 Hillhurst Avenue, the Community Police Center at 1965 Hillhurst Avenue and possibly other locations. As an entity covered under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Los Angeles does not discriminate on the basis of disability and upon request, will provide reasonable accommodation to ensure equal access to programs, services, and activities. Sign language interpreters, assistive listening devices, or other auxiliary aids and/or services may be provided upon request. To ensure availability of services, please make your request at least 3 business days prior to the meeting you wish to attend by contacting the Neighborhood Council Project Coordinator, Melvin Canas, at (213) 485-1360 or e-mailing [melvin.canas@lacity.org](mailto:melvin.canas@lacity.org).

To address the Board, the public is requested to fill out a 'Speaker Card' on any agenda item prior to the Board taking action on it. Comments from the public on agenda items will be heard only at the time the respective item is being considered. Comments from the public on matters not appearing on this Agenda within the Board's subject matter jurisdiction are heard during the Public Comment period, limited to 2 minutes per speaker, unless waived by the Board's presiding officer.

**PROCESS FOR RECONSIDERATION:** The Board may reconsider and amend its action on items listed here if that reconsideration takes place immediately following the original action, or at the next regular meeting. The Board, on either of these two days, shall: 1) Make a Motion for reconsideration and, if approved, 2) hear the matter and take an action. If the motion to reconsider an action is to be scheduled at the next meeting following the original action, then two items shall be placed on the agenda for that meeting: 1) A Motion for Reconsideration on the described matter and 2) a [Proposed] Action should the motion to reconsider be approved. A motion for reconsideration can only be made by a Board member who has previously voted on the prevailing side of the original action taken. If a motion for reconsideration is not made on the date the action was taken, then a Board member on the prevailing side of the action must submit a memorandum to the Secretary identifying the matter to be reconsidered and a brief description of the reason(s) for requesting reconsideration at the next regular meeting. The aforesaid shall all be in compliance with the Ralph M. Brown Act governing public entities.

**DOCUMENT ACCESS:** In compliance with Government Code section 54957.5, non-exempt writings distributed to a majority or all of the board members in advance of a meeting may be viewed at Los Angeles Public Library Los Feliz Branch (lobby reference shelf), at our website by clicking on the following link: [www.ggpnc.org](http://www.ggpnc.org), or at the scheduled meeting. In addition, if you would like a copy of any record related to an item on the agenda, please contact: [secretary@ggpnc.org](mailto:secretary@ggpnc.org) or call: (323) 908-6054.